

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/725,394	11/29/2000	Wesley W. Whitmyer JR.	03000- P0004C WWW/CJP	9725
24126 75	90 12/04/2003		EXAM	INER
ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC 986 BEDFORD STREET			NGUYEN, CINDY	
STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2171	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

no.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/725,394	WHITMYER, WESLEY W.
nancery nearly	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cindy Nguyen	2171
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
tee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI</li> </ol>		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	·	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	· · <del></del>	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•
5.   ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi te Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)  will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on <u>07 February 2001</u> i	is a)⊠ approved or b)⊡ disap	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		
10. Other:		
	-	/7 9/
•	WAY PRIMARY	NE AMSBURY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Argurments not persuasive. They are largely based on the meaning of "recordation forms", which first appear in the disclosure in the claims. There is no explicit definition of this term that excludes the patents and/or other documents of Rivette et al. (U.S 5991751).